

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

len-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,882	04/21/2004	Junichi Sato	09792909-5921	5622
26263 759		EXAMINER		
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCAGO, IL 00	000 1000	2813		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS 02/08/2007		02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/828,882	SATO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Laura M. Schillinger	2813		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INCOME. IN COMMENTAIN STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DON'S INCOME. IN COMMENT OF THE MAILING DON'S INCOME. IN COMMENT OF THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)		
tatus					
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 N</u>	ovember 2006			
		action is non-final.			
	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
ispositi	on of Claims				
_	Claim(s) <u>55-58,61-63,126-129 and 182</u> is/are p	pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
_	Claim(s) <u>55-58,61-63,126-129 and 182</u> is/are r	ejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
pplicati	on Papers	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	-			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Evaminer		
.0)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •	` '		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
riority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12) 🛛 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)		
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	1 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.		
tachment	· •	, man-man			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

The Examiner cannot conduct an examination on the merits due to the following 112 issues which need to be resolved in the amended claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 55-58, 61-63, 126-129, 182 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's amended claim 126 (from which all other claims depend) recites: "a single crystal thin film formed on an insulating base by heat treating an amorphous semiconductor thin film to form a polycrystalline thin film...". It is understood that annealing an amorphous semiconductor film will result in EITHER a mono (aka) single crystal thin film OR a polycrystalline thin film- however Applicant's claim suggest a single crystal thin film made of polycrystalline. This is lacking support in Applicant's specification.

Claim 55-58, 61-63, 126-129, 182 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 2813

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's amended claim 126 (from which all other claims depend) recites: "a single crystal thin film formed on an insulating base by heat treating an amorphous semiconductor thin film to form a polycrystalline thin film...". It is understood that annealing an amorphous semiconductor film will result in EITHER a mono (aka) single crystal thin film OR a polycrystalline thin film-however Applicant's claim suggest a single crystal thin film made of polycrystalline. This is understood to be a direct contradiction- a single crystal thin film is NOT and cannot be made of polycrystalline.

Claims 55-58, 61-63, 126-129, 182 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The Applicant claims forming a single crystal film by forming a polycrystalline film, the claim does not teach how the polycrystalline film is used to make a single crystalline film therefore this is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,882

Art Unit: 2813

Claim 55-58, 61-63, 126-129, 182 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "single crystal thin film" in claim126 is used by the claim to mean "a polycrystalline thin film", while the accepted meaning is "monocrystalline." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2813

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/28/07

Lacera Mfely

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813